Reviewers Name:

DIVERSITY LECTURE AWARD EVALUATION FORM RUBRIC AREA 3 **RUBRIC AREA 1** RUBRIC AREA 2 RUBRIC AREA 4 Clearly describes Work conforms to Work in diversity is Made a measurable Score driving factors core driving factors the community Score driving factors Score driving factors Score driving factors RUBRIC AREA 5 and supports the innovative and mpact through their for Rubric Area 1 for Rubric Area 2 served and reach of for Rubric Area 3 for Rubric Area 4 for Rubric Area 5 Individual/Project/Organization Name goal of increasing different from existing work in improving Plans to continue (Please leave your Please leave your the nominee's work (Please leave your (Please leave your (Please leave your diversity in BME programs diversity in BME work in diversity comments here) omments here) in diversity comments here) comments here) comments here) (Please use the (Please use the entire (Please use the entire (Please use the

entire 1-9 scale)

1-9 scale)

1-9 scale)

GUIDELINES & GRADING CRITERIA

Evaluation Rubric (NIH Scoring of 1-9, with 1 as high/best, 5 being average, and 9 as low/poor)

entire 1-9 scale)

- 1= Exceptional
- 2= Outstanding
- 3= Excellent
- 4= Very Good
- 5= Good
- 6= Satisfactory 7= Fair
- 8= Marginal
- 8= Margina 9= Poor

RUBRIC AREAS

AREA 1: Nomination Summary demonstrates nominee's work conforms to and supports the goal of increasing diversity in biomedical engineering.

Scale 1 to 9:

- 1 many examples of contributions to diversity in biomedical engineering.
- 9 no examples of contributions to diversity in biomedical engineering.

AREA 2: Nomination Summary identifies nominee's work in diversity is innovative and different from existing programs.

Scale 1 to 9:

- 1 strong demonstration of work in diversity is innovative and different from existing programs.
- 9 no demonstration of work in diversity is innovative and different from existing programs.

AREA 3: Nomination Summary clearly describes the community served and reach of the nominee's work in diversity.

Scale 1 to 9:

- 1 clear description of community served and reach.
- 9 no description of community served and reach.

AREA 4: Nomination Summary supports nominee has made a measurable impact through their work in improving diversity in biomedical engineering.

Scale 1 to 9:

- 1 strong examples of measured impact.
- 9 no examples of measured impact.

AREA 5: Nomination Summary includes nominee's plans to continue work in diversity.

Scale 1 to 9:

- 1 Strong demonstration of plans to continue work in diversity.
- 9 No demonstration of plans to continue work in diversity.