Reviewers Name: _____

Individual/Project/Organization Name	RUBRIC AREA 1 Work conforms to and supports the goal of increasing diversity in BME *(Please use the entire 1-9 scale)*	Score driving factors for Rubric Area 1 *(Please leave your comments here)*	RUBRIC AREA 2 Work in diversity is innovative and different from existing programs *(Please use the entire 1-9 scale)*	Score driving factors for Rubric Area 2 *(Please leave your comments here)*	RUBRIC AREA 3 Clearly describes the community served and reach of the nominee's work in diversity *(Please use the entire 1-9 scale)*	Score driving factors for Rubric Area 3 *(Please leave your comments here)*	RUBRIC AREA 4 Made a measurable impact through their work in improving diversity in BME *(Please use the entire 1-9 scale)*	Score driving factors for Rubric Area 4 *(Please leave your comments here)*	RUBRIC AREA 5 Plans to continue work in diversity	Score driving factors for Rubric Area 5 *(Please leave your comments here)*

GUIDELINES & GRADING CRITERIA

Evaluation Rubric (NIH Scoring of 1-9, with 1 as high/best, 5 being average, and 9 as low/poor)

1= Exceptional
2= Outstanding
3= Excellent
4= Very Good
5= Good
6= Satisfactory
7= Fair
8= Marginal
9= Poor

RUBRIC AREAS

AREA 1: Nomination Summary demonstrates nominee's work conforms to and supports the goal of increasing diversity in biomedical engineering.

Scale 1 to 9:
1 - many examples of contributions to diversity in biomedical engineering.
9 - no examples of contributions to diversity in biomedical engineering.

AREA 2: Nomination Summary identifies nominee's work in diversity is innovative and different from existing programs.

Scale 1 to 9:
1 – strong demonstration of work in diversity is innovative and different from existing programs.
9 – no demonstration of work in diversity is innovative and different from existing programs.

AREA 3: Nomination Summary clearly describes the community served and reach of the nominee's work in diversity.

Scale 1 to 9:
1 – clear description of community served and reach.
9 – no description of community served and reach.

AREA 4: Nomination Summary supports nominee has made a measurable impact through their work in improving diversity in biomedical engineering.

Scale 1 to 9:
1 – strong examples of measured impact.
9 – no examples of measured impact.

AREA 5: Nomination Summary includes nominee's plans to continue work in diversity.

Scale 1 to 9:
1 – Strong demonstration of plans to continue work in diversity.
9 – No demonstration of plans to continue work in diversity.